UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,106	06/30/2003	Christopher P. Foley	10761.1362	1052
	7590 02/08/201 egan, Henderson,	EXAMINER		
	tt & Dunner, LLP	JONES, MARCUS D		
Washington, Do			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

betty.finley@finnegan.com dianna.williams@finnegan.com catherine.vanhouten@finnegan.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/608,106	FOLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Marcus D. Jones	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			oause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3714	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant asserts that Papadopoulos, Gupta, Griffor, Cozens and Nourbakhsh failed to disclose or suggest every claim element in claims 1 and 3-30. Specifically that reliance on Gupta's recording of performance metric.fails to teach the instant limitations. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Without acquiesing to the Applicant's arguments, the Examiner submits that the practice of recording performance metric during design and implementation of any product is widely practiced by almost any business entity. For example, the example of shipbuilding or repairs was used during the previous interview (7 December 2009). During the specific project, certain aspects are continuously recorded and examined. Is the project within the proper time constraints? Budget constraints, and the like? As such it is important to illustract how this is done in a new and novel manner in the present invention. As stated in the Final Rejection, Papadopoulos discusses automatically generating useful statistics that can be used by management to measure return on investment, help with budgeting and the like (col 7, ln 63-67). In combination with Gupta's recording of performance metrics, recording vital information that affects the design and implementation of the software is achieved. This in essence, provides an automatic feedback system in which development of a better educational tool is constantly achieved by repeatedly evaluating the design process..